

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/432,069	11/02/1999	HARRY SANTAMAKI	017.37310X00	9593	
20457 7	7590 06/12/2003				
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS			EXAMINER		
	SEVENTEENTH STREE	HU, ЛNSONG			
ARLINGTON	, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
		DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					_	0	
		Application N .		Applicant(s)		#	
Office Action Summary		09/432,069		SANTAMAKI ET A	AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jinsong Hu		2154			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire so, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 I	November 1999 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under	•			ne merits is		
_	on of Claims					•	
•	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ation.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirer	ment.				
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)∐ T	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exar	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□ T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	d b)□ disappro	ved by the Examin	ier.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office act	ion.				
12)∐ T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)				•		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				l application).		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures to the company of the compa	ovisional application	on has been rec	eived.	,, ,,		
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 5			

Application/Control Number: 09/432,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show texts or labels in some boxes of Fig. 1, 4 and 6-7 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. See MPEP 608.02(d). Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sweet et al. (US 6,415,278 B1) in view of Warnock et al. (US 5,634,064).
- 5. As per claims 1, 8 and 11-12, Sweet teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an electronic book (e-book) system [col. 3, line 60 col. 4, line 5], comprising:

,

a private network [i.e., connection between 140 and 144 on Fig. 11]; a central server [144, Fig. 11] connected to said private network, which stores a collection of electronic documents [144, Fig. 11; col. 8, lines 9-10];

an e-book server [100, Fig. 11] which stores an electronic document selected from said central server converted in an e-book format [i.e., PDF format][col. 7, lines 8-14; col. 8, lines 27-35] for downloading to a e-book terminal [col. 8, lines 10-15]; and a host computer [140, Fig. 11] connected to said private network, which selects the electronic document from said central server [col. 8, lines 9-11], and transfer the selected electronic document from said central server for storage at the e-book server in

6. Sweet does not specifically teach the step of using a print function of an operating system to transfer the selected electronic file for storage as an e-book format from the central server to the e-book server.

an e-book format for later downloading to an e-book terminal [col. 8, lines 24-44].

7. However, Warnock on the other hand teaches the step of using a print function of an operating system to transfer the selected electronic file for storage as an e-book format [i.e., PDF format] from the central server to the e-book server [i.e., PDF printer driver][col. 5, lines 51-62]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Warnock's file transmitting step in Sweet's system because it is a well-known PDF file transmitting or processing method in the art [Warnock, col., 5, lines 59-62].

Application/Control Number: 09/432,069 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

8. Sweet does not specifically the e-book terminal is connected to the e-book server via a public network. However, Warnock on the other hand teaches that the e-book terminal is connected to the e-book server via a public network [58, 66, Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 22 –30]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Warnock's remote transmitting step in Sweet's system because doing would improve the capability of Sweet's system by allowing the e-book server provide service to more users. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Sweet's system with Warnock's transmitting step to improve the performance of the system.

- 9. As per claims 2-3 and 5, Warnock teaches the step of using a print function of an operating system to transfer the selected electronic file from the central server to the e-book server [col. 5, lines 51-62].
- 10. As per claim 4, Sweet teaches the step of converting data reflecting the selected electronic document into an e-book format for storage at said e-book server [col. 12, lines 40-46].
- 11. As per claims 6 and 7, Warnock teaches the step of emulating e-book server as a network printer [col. 5, lines 51-62].

Application/Control Number: 09/432,069 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

12. As per claim 9, Sweet and Warnock teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Both references do not specifically teach that the network including LAN, POTS etc. network. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made utilizing these network in Sweet's system because all of them are well-known data communication networks in the art.

- 13. As per claim 10, Sweet teaches that the e-book comprises an electronic module which provides a central processing unit (CPU), a BIOS read-only-memory (ROM), and a random-access-memory (RAM), a display and a display controller, a power unit which provides power supply to said e-book terminal; an read-only-memory (ROM); a communication interface; and a security unit which provides overall security to said e-book terminal [col. 8, lines 6-7 & 10-20].
- 14. As per claims 13-20, since they present the same limitations as claims 1-9 from two different perspectives [i.e., the server and the client, respectively], they are rejected for the same bases as claims 1-9 above.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Hendricks (US 5,986,690) discloses an e-book system;

Sachs et al. (US 6,493,734 B1) discloses an e-book system;

Art Unit: 2154

Niemi (US 6,415,294 B1) discloses a electronic file retrieval system;

Pravetz et al. (US 6,185,684 B1) discloses a document accessing system;

Sachs et al. (US 6,331,865 B1) discloses a e-book distributing system;

Woo (US 6,336,074 B1) discloses a FDF file transmitting system;

DeRose et al. (US 6,546,406 B1) discloses a electronic document retrieval system; and

Igawa et al. (US 6,560,645 B1) discloses a e-book delivery system.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306 – 5932.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-9052. Additionally, the fax numbers for Group 2100 are as follow:

Official Faxes:

(703) 746-7239

After Final Responses:

(703) 746-7238

Draft Responses:

(703) 746-7240

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

Jinsong Hu

May 30, 2003

ZARNI MAUNG

PRIMARY EXAMINER